



# Feedback on the Utilization of MUMPS

Antoine Petitet, Benoit Lodej

May 29, 2013



## Use of MUMPS

- Use MUMPS since 2008 in production
- Accuracy, robustness, efficiency (In- and Out-of-core)
- Memory (resource) consumption information
- Support
- Structural Mechanics (FEM), NVH, Stamping, Casting, ...

$Z = C^T A^{-1} C$ ,  $A = A^T$  N-by-N complex,

C real  $(M + M_s + M_f) \times (N_s + N_f)$

With  $N \sim M \gg M_s, M_f, N_s + N_f$

For many frequencies.

0	$C_{ss}^T$	0
0	0	$C_{ff}^T$

$A^{-1}$	0	0
	$C_{ss}$	0
	0	$C_{ff}$

$N = M + M_s + M_f$	$M_s + M_f$	$N_s + N_f$
223.972	2.309 + 64.567	141+120
338.838	30.423 + 46.265	3.358 + 249
1.876.246	77.252 + 41.404	3.358 + 249

	$N_s$	$N_f$
M	0	0
$M_s$	$C_{ss}$	0
$M_f$	0	$C_{ff}$

Solve  $A X = C$ ,

$$Z = C^T X$$

$$Z_{ss} = C_{ss}^T X_{ss}$$

$$Z_{ff} = C_{ff}^T X_{ff}$$

$$Z_{sf} = C_{ss}^T X_{sf}$$

or

$$Z_{fs} = C_{ff}^T X_{fs}$$

<b>A</b>		
----------	--	--

$X_{us}$	$X_{uf}$
$X_{ss}$	$X_{sf}$
$X_{fs}$	$X_{ff}$

0	0
$C_{ss}$	0
0	$C_{ff}$

0	$C_{ss}^T$	0
0	0	$C_{ff}^T$

$Z_{ss}$	$Z_{sf}$
$Z_{fs}$	$Z_{ff}$



## Method 2

Schur complement S

$$S = 0 - C^T A^{-1} C = -Z$$

[Scale A first]\*

A			0	0
			$C_{ss}$	0
			0	$C_{ff}$
0	$C_{ss}^T$	0	0	0
0	0	$C_{ff}^T$	0	0



# Performance comparison

$N = 298.562$ ,  $N_s + N_f = 4032$  ( $63 * 64$ )

Method 1 (s)	1	2	4	8
Symbolic fact	6	6	6	6
Numeric fact	208	114	70	44
Solve	1923	1204	650	492
Total	2137	1324	726	542

Method 2 (s)	1
Schur	2120



## Wish list, conclusions

- Parallel ordering efficiency
  - On large problems, Metis is a bottleneck, and ParMetis or PT-Scotch orderings are not as good: What you win in the parallel ordering is lost in the numerical factorization.
- Thread Parallelism
- Overall, very happy / satisfied users.





[www.esi-group.com](http://www.esi-group.com)